

Project – Math 502b

The motion of two bodies under mutual gravitational attraction is described by the following equations derived from Newton's Law of Motion.

$$\begin{aligned}x''(t) &= -\alpha^2 x(t)/R(t), \\y''(t) &= -\alpha^2 y(t)/R(t), \\x(0) &= 1 - \beta, \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}},\end{aligned}$$

where $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ denote the position of one body in a coordinate system with the origin fixed in the other body, $R(t) = (x^2(t) + y^2(t))^{3/2}$, α and β are constants, $0 \leq \beta < 1$. The orbit is then an ellipse with eccentricity β and one focus at the origin. Choose $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and β such that $1 - \beta = 10^{-i}$, $i = 0 : 5$, i.e. β approaching 1 as closely as possible.

1. Write a C-program based on the classical Runge-Kutta 4th-order method. Test your code with a fixed step size h on the problem above. Verify the theoretical dependence of accuracy on the step size, h .

Note that the solution is periodic, with the period $P = 8$. As $(1 - \beta) \rightarrow 1$ the ellipse becomes more pronounced and the equations become *stiff*, i.e. more 'difficult' to integrate. Estimate the largest (in modulus) eigenvalue of the Jacobian as a function of $(1 - \beta)$ and find experimentally the largest step size for which the method converges.

2. Implement an automatic step size control. Norm of the global error at $T = 8$ should be close to a prescribed tolerance ϵ . Choose $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ to 10^{-8} and estimate the norm of the local error, δ , at each grid point using two different step sizes. A computed value with the step size h can be accepted if the local error $\delta < \tau = \frac{(1-\beta)\epsilon h}{T}$. Otherwise the mesh must be refined. The increase and decrease of the step size should be regulated by $h_{new} = h_{old} \sqrt[p]{\frac{\theta \tau}{\delta}}$, where p is the order of the method, and θ is a safety factor in the range 0.8 to 0.9.

3. Use the set of all available Matlab solvers *odexxx* possibly changing 'options' from their default values. Note: you may determine the period using 'events'. For the set of printout points $t_k = \frac{k}{2}, k = 0, \dots, 16$ print out the position and *for all the steps taken* plot the position $(x(t), y(t))$, velocity $(x'(t), y'(t))$ and acceleration $(x''(t), y''(t))$. Note: you must force the computational points to coincide with the set of printout points even if a longer step is permitted by the estimator.

Plot (and print out) the number of steps taken (or better, the number of function evaluations) between the printout points t_k . Explain why these numbers vary in different regions of integration. Also print out the smallest step size h_{min} taken (and at which t). Observe how close the bodies come to each other.

Compare the efficiency and accuracy of the results for different values of the tolerance ϵ . Efficiency can be measured as the number of function calls or the cpu time. Error can be measured as the discrepancy in the positions $(x(t), y(t))$ for t and $t+P$ for $t=0$.

Compare the efficiency of implementations in **1** to **3**.